arXiv:1403.5740v1 [math.GR] 23 Mar 2014

# ON GROUPS OF $I$-TYPE AND INVOLUTIVE YANG-BAXTER GROUPS

NIR BEN DAVID AND YUVAL GINOSAR

Abstract. We suggest a cohomological framework to describe groups of $I$-type and involutive Yang-Baxter groups. These groups are key in the study of involutive non-degenerate set-theoretic solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation.

## 1. Introduction

Two families of solvable groups concern us herein. Groups of $I$-type (or *structure groups*) were introduced in [7, 10] in order to study set-theoretic solutions of the celebrated quantum Yang-Baxter equation [22]. A group is of $I$-type if it carries an $I$-datum, i.e. a bijective 1-cocycle whose values lie in a free abelian group endowed with a permutation action (see the precise definitions in §2). A group may admit various $I$-data, and consequently may be of $I$-type in more than one way. A group of $I$-type has an associated finite quotient which carries an associated $I$-datum. Such quotients, namely involutive Yang-Baxter (IYB) groups, are exactly the adjoint groups of *braces* [17, 18]. A consequence of the above bijectivity property is that groups of $I$-type, as well as IYB groups are solvable [7, Theorem 2.15].

The reader is referred to [6] for a thorough survey of the one-to-one correspondence between involutive non-degenerate set-theoretic solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation and groups of $I$-type. More details can be found in [1, 5, 11, 13, 14, 16].

Two problems were posed in [6] in attempt to characterize the family of groups of $I$-type and by that to describe all involutive non-degenerate set-theoretic solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation:

**Problem A.** Classify the IYB groups. In particular, is every finite solvable group an IYB group?

**Problem B.** Describe all $I$-data of groups $G$ of $I$-type which "lie above" a given $I$-datum of an IYB group $G_0$.

The conjecture in Problem A has recently been disproved by W. Rump [19, Theorem 7], who presented a finite nilpotent group which is not IYB. The classification problem is still challenging.

Even more recently, D. Bachiller and F. Cedó have solved important cases of Problem B applying braces techniques [1].

This note suggests a cohomological approach to tackle both problems. Lemma 3.1 gives a criterion for lifting 1-cocycles from a quotient of a group to the group

*Date*: March 25, 2014.

itself. Using the correspondence in this lemma, Theorem 4.6 describes all groups $G$ of $I$-type with $I$-data that lie above a given $I$-datum on their associated IYB group $G_0$. This description is given in terms of $G_0$-module extensions.

As for Problem A, the subfamily of IYB groups established in [6] contains, not merely however, finite nilpotents of class 2, abelian-by-cyclic groups and cyclic-by-two generated abelian $p$-groups. Furthermore, it is shown that any finite solvable group can be embedded in an IYB group, and that the family of IYB groups is closed to Hall subgroups, to direct products and to wreath products with any subgroup of the symmetric group. Our method can retrieve some of the above families as explained in §4.1.

## 2. Definitions

We adopt the definition of groups of $I$-type given in [7]. In order to compute the corresponding set-theoretic solutions it is more convenient to work with their group presentation [6, §1]. Let $\mathbb{T}^n$ be a free abelian group of rank $n$ endowed with the natural action of the symmetric group $S_n$ on a given set of generators. Then by the definition of the corresponding semidirect product $\mathbb{T}^n \rtimes S_n$, the natural projection

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \pi : \mathbb{T}^n \rtimes S_n & \to & \mathbb{T}^n \\ (t,\sigma) & \mapsto & t, \end{array} \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^n, \sigma \in S_n \tag{2.1}$$

satisfies the 1-cocycle condition, where $\mathbb{T}^n$ is a $\mathbb{T}^n \rtimes S_n$-module via the quotient $S_n$. A subgroup $G < \mathbb{T}^n \rtimes S_n$ is of *I-type* if the restriction

$$\pi : G \to \mathbb{T}^n$$

of the above 1-cocycle to $G$ is bijective. In other words,

$$G = \{(a, \Phi(a)) | a \in \mathbb{T}^n\}$$

for some map $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \to S_n$. We call the triple $(G, \mathbb{T}^n, \pi)$ an *I-datum* [1] on the group $G$. It turns out that a 1-cocycle is bijective if and only if so are all the 1-cocycles in its cohomology class ([4, §1.1], see also [3, Proposition 4.1]). The fact that bijectivity is a class property is respected by the cohomological structures in §3 and §4.

Let $K$ be the kernel of the action of a group $G$ of $I$-type on $\mathbb{T}^n$. Then certainly $K$ is of finite index in $G$, and the restriction of $\pi$ to $K$ is a group-isomorphism. Consequently, the finite group

$$G_0 := G/K (\hookrightarrow S_n)$$

acts on

$$A := \mathbb{T}^n / \pi(K),$$

and the 1-cocycle $\pi$ determines a 1-cocycle

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \pi_0 : G_0 & \to & A \\ gK & \mapsto & \pi(g) + \pi(K) \end{array} \tag{2.2}$$

which is bijective as well. The finite group $G_0$ is termed *involutive Yang-Baxter*, and the triple $(G_0, A, \pi_0)$ is the $I$-datum associated to $(G, \mathbb{T}^n, \pi)$. It has already been noticed [6, Theorem 2.1] that if $G_0$ is finite, then a bijective 1-cocycle $\pi_0 \in Z^1(G_0, A)$ for some $G_0$-module $A$ (of the same cardinality) is always associated to

[1] this datum, together with the $G$-module structure on $\mathbb{T}^n$, is denoted a *bijective cocycle quadruple* in [7]

some $I$-datum $(G, \mathbb{T}^n, \pi)$. Then an I-datum is also sufficient for a finite group to be IYB. Note that other choice of a 1-cocycle cohomologous to $\pi$ in (2.1) yields, in turn, a 1-cocycle cohomologous to $\pi_0$ in (2.2).

We remark that $I$-data $(G_0, A, \pi_0)$ on a finite group $G_0$ were used to construct *non-degenerate* classes in $H^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ for the semi-direct product $\mathcal{G} = \check{A} \rtimes G_0$ [8, 9] or, more generally, for any extension

$$[\beta] : 1 \to \check{A} \to \mathcal{G} \to G_0 \to 1 \in H^2(G_0, \check{A})$$

such that $[\beta] \cup [\pi_0] = 0 \in H^3(G_0, \mathbb{C}^*)$ [3].

## 3. Lifting 1-cocycles

The main endeavor throughout this paper is a construction of cohomology classes on groups that lift given classes on their quotients. To do so in a general non-abelian setting, we implement the terminology of [20, Chapter VII, Appendix].

Let

$$1 \to G_1 \to G \to G_0 \to 1 \tag{3.1}$$

be an extension of groups, and let

$$1 \to \Gamma_1 \xrightarrow{\iota} \Gamma \to \Gamma_0 \to 1 \tag{3.2}$$

be an extension of (non-abelian) $G$-groups via the quotient $G_0 = G/G_1$.

Under this general setup, 1-cocycles of $G$ and $G_0$ over the non-abelian modules $\Gamma, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_0$ can still be defined. We shall also work with the well-defined pointed set $H^1(G_0, \Gamma_0)$, which is identified with the well known cohomology group in case $\Gamma_0$ is abelian [20, page 123].

With the above notation, let $\pi : G \to \Gamma$ be a generalized 1-cocycle in $Z^1(G, \Gamma)$ such that $\pi(G_1) \subset \Gamma_1$. The corresponding restriction $\pi_1 : G_1 \to \Gamma_1$ is a group-homomorphism (since the $G_1$-action is trivial). Next, $\pi$ determines a well defined map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \pi_0 : G_0 & \to & \Gamma_0 \\ gG_1 & \mapsto & \pi(g)\Gamma_1, \end{array}$$

which is a generalized 1-cocycle in $Z^1(G_0, \Gamma_0)$ as can easily be shown. We say that the 1-cocycle $\pi$ *lifts* the pair $(\pi_1, \pi_0)$.

We focus on the special case where $\Gamma_1$ is central in $\Gamma$. Under this assumption, it is not hard to verify that $\pi_1$ is a $G$-invariant morphism, that is for every $g \in G$ and $n \in G_1$

$$\pi_1(n) = g(\pi_1(g^{-1}ng)).$$

It turns out that the invariant morphism $\pi_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}(G_1, \Gamma_1)^G$ and the generalized 1-cocycle $\pi_0 \in Z^1(G_0, \Gamma_0)$ (or, more precisely, its class) share a common image under two distinct cohomological maps as follows. Let

$$\mathrm{Tra}{:}\mathrm{Hom}(G_1, \Gamma_1)^G \to H^2(G_0, \Gamma_1)$$

be the classical transgression map (see (3.6) herein), and let

$$\Delta : H^1(G_0, \Gamma_0) \to H^2(G_0, \Gamma_1)$$

be the coboundary map (of pointed sets, see (3.7) herein). We have the following necessary and sufficient lifting criterion.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let* (3.1) *be an exact sequence of groups and let* (3.2) *be a central exact sequence of (non-abelian) $G$-modules via its quotient $G_0$. Let $\pi_1 \in Hom(G_1,\Gamma_1)^G$ and $\pi_0 \in Z^1(G_0,\Gamma_0)$. Then there exists a 1-cocycle $\pi \in Z^1(G,\Gamma)$ which lifts the pair $(\pi_1,\pi_0)$ if and only if*

$$Tra(\pi_1)^{-1} = \Delta([\pi_0]). \tag{3.3}$$

*Proof.* (1) Let $\{\overline{g}\}_{g\in G_0}$ and $\{\overline{\gamma}\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma_0}$ be transversal sets of $G_0$ in $G$ and of $\Gamma_0$ in $\Gamma$ respectively. These sections determine the 2-place functions

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \beta : G_0 \times G_0 & \to & G_1 \\ (g_1,g_2) & \mapsto & \overline{g_1}\cdot\overline{g_2}\cdot(\overline{g_1\cdot g_2})^{-1} \end{array} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \omega : \Gamma_0 \times \Gamma_0 & \to & \Gamma_1 \\ (\gamma_1,\gamma_2) & \mapsto & \overline{\gamma_1}\cdot\overline{\gamma_2}\cdot(\overline{\gamma_1\cdot\gamma_2})^{-1}. \end{array} \tag{3.5}$$

With this notation, the transgression map is given by

$$\mathrm{Tra}(\pi_1) = [\pi_1\circ\beta^{-1}] \in H^2(G_0,\Gamma_1), \tag{3.6}$$

where (see [15, §1.1])

$$(\pi_1\circ\beta^{-1})(g_1,g_2) := \pi_1(\beta(g_1,g_2))^{-1}.$$

The coboundary map is given by

$$\Delta([\pi_0]) = [\omega\circ\pi_0] \in H^2(G_0,\Gamma_1), \tag{3.7}$$

where (see [20, page 124])

$$(\omega\circ\pi_0)(g_1,g_2) = \overline{\pi_0(g_1)}\cdot g_1(\overline{\pi_0(g_2)})\cdot(\overline{\pi_0(g_1\cdot g_2)})^{-1}.$$

Suppose that (3.3) holds. Then there exists $\lambda : G_0 \to \Gamma_1$ (a 1-coboundary) such that for every $g_1,g_2 \in G_0$

$$(\pi_1\circ\beta)(g_1,g_2)\cdot\lambda(g_1\cdot g_2) = (\omega\circ\pi_0)(g_1,g_2)\cdot\lambda(g_1)\cdot g_1(\lambda(g_2)). \tag{3.8}$$

We claim that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \pi : G & \to & \Gamma \\ n\cdot\overline{g} & \mapsto & \pi_1(n)\cdot\lambda(g)\cdot\overline{\pi_0(g)}, \quad n\in G_1, g\in G_0 \end{array}$$

is a 1-cocycle (which clearly lifts the pair $(\pi_1,\pi_0)$). Indeed, for any $n_1\cdot\overline{g_1}, n_2\cdot\overline{g_2} \in G$ we have

$$\begin{array}{l} \pi(n_1\cdot\overline{g_1}\cdot n_2\cdot\overline{g_2}) = \pi(n_1\cdot\overline{g_1}(n_2)\cdot\overline{g_1}\cdot\overline{g_2}) = \pi(n_1\cdot\overline{g_1}(n_2)\cdot\beta(g_1,g_2)\cdot\overline{g_1\cdot g_2}) = \\ \pi_1(n_1\cdot\overline{g_1}(n_2)\cdot\beta(g_1,g_2))\cdot\lambda(g_1\cdot g_2)\cdot\overline{\pi_0(g_1\cdot g_2)} = (\text{ by (3.8)}) \\ = \pi_1(n_1)\cdot(\omega\circ\pi_0)(g_1,g_2)\cdot\lambda(g_1)\cdot g_1(\lambda(g_2))\cdot\pi_1(\overline{g_1}(n_2))\cdot\overline{\pi_0(g_1\cdot g_2)} = \\ \pi_1(n_1)\cdot\overline{\pi_0(g_1)}\cdot g_1(\overline{\pi_0(g_2)})\cdot(\overline{\pi_0(g_1\cdot g_2)})^{-1}\cdot\lambda(g_1)\cdot g_1(\lambda(g_2))\cdot\pi_1(\overline{g_1}(n_2))\cdot\overline{\pi_0(g_1\cdot g_2)} = \\ \pi_1(n_1)\cdot\lambda(g_1)\cdot\overline{\pi_0(g_1)}\cdot g_1(\pi_1(n_2)\cdot\lambda(g_2)\cdot\overline{\pi_0(g_2)}) = \pi(n_1\cdot\overline{g_1})\cdot g_1(\pi(n_2\cdot\overline{g_2})). \end{array}$$

Conversely, suppose that $\pi \in Z^1(G,\Gamma)$ is a 1-cocycle which lifts the pair $(\pi_1,\pi_0)$. Define

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \lambda : G_0 & \to & \Gamma_1 \\ g & \mapsto & \overline{\pi_0(g)}\cdot\pi(\overline{g})^{-1}. \end{array}$$

Then for every $g_1,g_2 \in G_0$

$$(\omega\circ\pi_0)(g_1,g_2)=\overline{\pi_0(g_1)}\cdot g_1(\overline{\pi_0(g_2)})\cdot(\overline{\pi_0(g_1\cdot g_2)})^{-1}=$$
$$\lambda(g_1)\cdot\pi(\overline{g_1})\cdot g_1(\lambda(g_2)\cdot\pi(\overline{g_2}))\cdot(\lambda(g_1\cdot g_2)\cdot\pi(\overline{g_1\cdot g_2}))^{-1}=$$
$$\lambda(g_1)\cdot\pi(\overline{g_1})\cdot g_1(\lambda(g_2)\cdot\pi(\overline{g_2}))\cdot(\lambda(g_1\cdot g_2)\cdot\pi(\beta(g_1,g_2)^{-1}\cdot\overline{g_1}\cdot\overline{g_2}))^{-1}=$$
$$\lambda(g_1)\cdot g_1(\lambda(g_2))\cdot\lambda(g_1\cdot g_2)^{-1}\cdot\pi_1(\beta(g_1,g_2)).$$

This proves that $\omega\circ\pi_0$ and $\pi_1\circ\beta$ are cohomologous in $Z^2(G_0,\Gamma_1)$. Their respective cohomology classes, $\Delta([\pi_0])$ and $\mathrm{Tra}(\pi_1)^{-1}$, are hence equal. □

**Remark 3.2.** Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.1, suppose that both $\pi,\pi'\in Z^1(G,\Gamma)$ lift the pair $(\pi_1,\pi_0)$. Define

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\pi'':G_0 & \to & \Gamma_1\\ g & \mapsto & \pi'(\overline{g})^{-1}\cdot\pi(\overline{g}).\end{array}$$

Then the 1-cocycle conditions on $\pi$ and $\pi'$ entail a 1-cocycle condition on $\pi''$. Moreover, for every $n\in G_1$ and $g\in G_0$

$$\pi(n\overline{g})=\pi'(n\overline{g})\cdot\pi''(g).$$

Consequently, a lifting of the pair $(\pi_1,\pi_0)$ is determined up to $\iota^*\circ\inf_G^{G_0}\pi''$ for some $\pi''\in Z^1(G_0,\Gamma_1)$, where $\iota^*$ is the functorial map arising from the embedding $\Gamma_1\stackrel{\iota}{\to}\Gamma$ and $\inf_G^{G_0}:Z^1(G_0,\Gamma_1)\to Z^1(G,\Gamma_1)$ is the inflation map.

**Remark 3.3.** The criterion (3.3) is significant in the theory of lifting projective representations of $G_0$ to ordinary representations of $G$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$. Here one puts $\Gamma:=GL_n(\mathbb{F})$, and $\Gamma_1:=Z(GL_n(\mathbb{F}))$- the scalar matrices (and so $\Gamma_0=\Gamma/\Gamma_1=PGL_n(\mathbb{F})$), endowed with the trivial $G$-action [12, Theorem 11.13].

## 4. Application: Lifting $I$-data

To exploit Lemma 3.1 for our purpose of lifting bijective cocycles, assume both

(1) The extension (3.2) is of abelian $G$-modules (via $G_0$), and
(2) $G_1=\Gamma_1$ (with the same $G_0$-action), and $\pi_1=\mathrm{Id}_{G_1}$ is the identity map.

Since by these assumptions the kernel $G_1$ in (3.1) is abelian, the 2-place function $\beta$ given in (3.4) is a 2-cocycle. That is

$$[\beta]\in H^2(G_0,G_1)\simeq\mathrm{Ext}^2_{G_0}(\mathbb{Z},G_1). \tag{4.1}$$

The first assumption above says that the extension (3.2) determines an element in $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{G_0}(\Gamma_0,\Gamma_1)$. By the second assumption, the 2-place function $\omega$ in (3.5) represents a class

$$[\omega]\in\mathrm{Ext}^1_{G_0}(\Gamma_0,G_1).$$

We also have

$$[\pi_0]\in H^1(G_0,\Gamma_0)\simeq\mathrm{Ext}^1_{G_0}(\mathbb{Z},\Gamma_0).$$

Under the above assumptions, the coboundary map $\Delta$ can be identified with the Yoneda splicing [4, §2.6] of $G_0$-module extensions

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\Delta:\mathrm{Ext}^1_{G_0}(\mathbb{Z},\Gamma_0) & \to & \mathrm{Ext}^2_{G_0}(\mathbb{Z},G_1)\\ [\pi_0] & \mapsto & [\pi_0]\circ[\omega].\end{array} \tag{4.2}$$

Next, substitution of the identity map $\mathrm{Id}_{G_1}$ for $\pi_1$ in (3.6) yields

$$\mathrm{Tra}(\pi_1)=\mathrm{Tra}(\mathrm{Id}_{G_1})=[\mathrm{Id}_{G_1}\circ\beta^{-1}]=[\beta^{-1}]. \tag{4.3}$$

We have

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let* (3.1) *be a group extension with abelian kernel* $G_1$ *determined by the class* (4.1)*, let*

$$0 \to G_1 \to \Gamma \to \Gamma_0 \to 0 : [\omega] \in Ext^1_{G_0}(\Gamma_0, G_1) \tag{4.4}$$

*be an exact sequence of abelian* $G$*-modules via its quotient* $G_0$*, and let* $\pi_0 \in Z^1(G_0, \Gamma_0)$*. Then there exists a 1-cocycle* $\pi \in Z^1(G, \Gamma)$ *which lifts the pair* $(Id_{G_1}, \pi_0)$ *if and only if*

$$[\beta] = [\pi_0] \circ [\omega] \in H^2(G_0, G_1). \tag{4.5}$$

*Moreover,* $\pi$ *is bijective if and only if so is* $\pi_0$*.*

*Proof.* The first part is obtained by putting (4.2) and (4.3) in Lemma 3.1. The bijectivity property is verified by a direct computation. □

Note that by Remark 3.2, the lifting $\pi \in Z^1(G, \Gamma)$ in Corollary 4.1 is determined up to $\iota^* \circ \inf_G^{G_0} \pi''$ for some $\pi'' \in Z^1(G_0, G_1)$, where $\iota^*$ is the functorial map arising from the embedding $(G_1 =)\Gamma_1 \xrightarrow{\iota} \Gamma$.

4.1. By now it is clear how Corollary 4.1 is helpful for the construction of $I$-data on groups using $I$-data on their quotients. Indeed, given a bijective 1-cocycle $\pi_0 : G_0 \to \Gamma_0$, then for every extension (4.4) of abelian $G_0$-modules, the Yoneda splicing $[\pi_0] \circ [\omega] \in H^2(G_0, G_1)$ determines a cover $G$ of $G_0$ and a bijective 1-cocycle $\pi \in Z^1(G, \Gamma)$ such that $(G, \Gamma, \pi_0)$ is an $I$-datum "lying above" the $I$-datum $(G_0, \Gamma_0, \pi_0)$. The families of IYB groups given in the rest of this subsection demonstrate the technique. The first example is a special instance of [6, Theorem 3.4].

**Proposition 4.2.** *The family of IYB groups is closed to semidirect products with finite abelian groups.*

*Proof.* The semidirect product $G_1 \rtimes G_0$ corresponds to $[\beta] = 0 \in H^2(G_0, G_1)$ in (4.1). This trivial class is obtained by splicing the cohomology class of the given bijective 1-cocycle $\pi_0 \in Z^1(G_0, \Gamma_0)$ with the trivial $G_0$-extension $[\omega] = 0 \in \mathrm{Ext}^1_{G_0}(\Gamma_0, G_1)$. By Corollary 4.1, $G_0 \rtimes G_1$ admits a bijective 1-cocycle to the direct sum of $G_0$-modules $G_0 \oplus \Gamma_0$. □

A finite group is said to be *of A-type* if all its Sylow subgroups are abelian. The following consequence of Proposition 4.2 was suggested to us by W. Kimmerle.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Groups of A-type are IYB.*

*Proof.* By [21], a group $G$ of $A$-type admits a tower of extensions

$$\{1\} = G_0 < G_1 < \cdots < G_n = G,$$

where $G_i = A_{p_i} \rtimes G_{i-1}$ and $A_{p_i}$ are $p_i$-Sylow subgroups of $G$. By iterative application of Proposition 4.2, all the subgroups $G_i, 1 \le i \le n$ are IYB. □

The following metabelian examples are proven to be IYB by putting $\Gamma_0 := G_0$ as a trivial $G_0$-module in Corollary 4.1, and letting $\pi_0 :=\mathrm{Id}_{G_0}$ (which is obviously bijective). Since these families were already treated in [6], we skip most of the details, which can be found in [2, §3.3].

Let $G_1$ be a $G_0$-module and let $G_1^{G_0} < G_1$ denote its invariant elements under the $G_0$-action. Classes in the image of the functorial map $H^2(G_0, G_1^{G_0}) \to H^2(G_0, G_1)$ are termed *invariant*. We have

**Proposition 4.4.** [2, Theorem 3.3.11] *Let $G_0$ be an abelian group acting trivially on itself. Then the map*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Ext^1_{G_0}(G_0, G_1) & \to & H^2(G_0, G_1) \\ [\omega] & \mapsto & [Id_{G_0}] \circ [\omega] \end{array} \tag{4.6}$$

*admits all the invariant classes in its image.*

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let* (3.1) *be a metabelian extension determined by an invariant class $[\beta] \in H^2(G_0, G_1)$. Then $G$ is an IYB group. In particular*
*(i) Finite nilpotent groups of class 2 are IYB (see* [6, Corollary 3.11]*).*
*(ii) Finite abelian-by-cyclic groups are IYB (see* [6, Corollary 3.10]*).*

*Proof.* (i) For a nilpotent group $G$ of class 2, take $G_1$ to be its center. Then the extension (3.1) is metabelian and central (in particular invariant).
(ii) The even dimensional cohomology of a cyclic group $G_0$ with coefficients in an abelian module is invariant (see e.g. [4, §3.5]).
By Corollary 4.1 and Proposition 4.4 the outcome groups $G$ in both cases are IYB. □

4.2. We can now answer Problem B in cohomological terms. Suppose that a finite group $G_0$ embeds into $S_n$, that is $M := \mathbb{T}^n$ is a faithful $G_0$-module under the corresponding $n$-permutation action. Suppose further that $G_0$ admits a module $A$ with $|A| = |G_0|$. It is not hard to check that $\mathrm{rank}(A) < n$. Now, any $G_0$-module surjective map

$$\theta : M \twoheadrightarrow A \tag{4.7}$$

gives rise to a $G_0$-module extension

$$[\gamma_\theta] : 0 \to \mathbb{T}^n \to M \xrightarrow{\theta} A \to 0 \in \mathrm{Ext}^1_{G_0}(A, \mathbb{T}^n). \tag{4.8}$$

With the notation of (4.7) and (4.8) we have

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let $(G_0, A, \pi_0)$ be an $I$-datum on an IYB group $G_0 (< S_n)$. Then the Yoneda splicing $[\gamma_\theta] \mapsto [\pi_0] \circ [\gamma_\theta] \in H^2(G_0, \mathbb{T}^n)$ induces a one-to-one correspondence between $G_0$-module extensions $[\gamma_\theta] \in \mathrm{Ext}^1_{G_0}(A, \mathbb{T}^n)$ arising from a $G_0$-module surjective map $\theta : \mathbb{T}^n \twoheadrightarrow A$, and groups $G$ of $I$-type, which admit an $I$-datum $(G, M, \pi)$, whose associated $I$-datum is $(G_0, A, \pi_0)$.*

**Acknowledgments.** We are indebted to E. Aljadeff for his ongoing support and to W. Kimmerle for suggesting Corollary 4.3 to us.

## References


[1] D. Bachiller and F. Cedó, A family of solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation, `\protect\vrule width0pt\protect\href{http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.5142}{arXiv:1312.5142}`

[2] N. Ben David, On groups of central type and involutive Yang-Baxter groups: a cohomological approach, D.Sc. thesis, the Technion (2012).

[3] N. Ben David and Y. Ginosar, On groups of central type, non-degenerate and bijective cohomology classes, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **172** (2009), 317–335.

[4] D.J. Benson, Representations and cohomology. I. Basic representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 30. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.

[5] F. Cedó, E. Jespers and J. Okniński, Retractability of set theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation,*Advances in Mathematics*, **224** (2010), no. 6, 2472-2484.

[6] F. Cedó, E. Jespers and Á. del Río, Involutive Yang-Baxter groups, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **362** (2010), no. 5, 2541–2558.

[7] P. Etingof, T. Schedler and A. Soloviev, Set-theoretical solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **100** (1999), no. 2, 169–209.
[8] P. Etingof and S. Gelaki, A method of construction of finite-dimensional triangular semisimple Hopf algebras, *Mathematical Research Letters* **5** (1998), 551–561.
[9] P. Etingof and S. Gelaki, The classification of triangular semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebras over an algebraically closed field, *International Mathematics Research Notices* **5** (2000), 223–234.
[10] T. Gateva-Ivanova and M. Van den Bergh, Semigroups of $I$-type, *J. Algebra* **206** (1998), no. 1, 97–112.
[11] T. Gateva-Ivanova, A combinatorial approach to the set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation, *J. Math. Phys.* **45** (2004), 3828-3858.
[12] I. M. Isaacs, Character theory of finite groups, Academic Press, New York-London, 1976.
[13] E. Jespers and J. Okniński, Monoids and groups of $I$-type, *Algebras and Representation Theory* **8** (2005), no. 5, 709–729.
[14] E. Jespers and J. Okniński, Noetherian semigroup algebras. Algebras and Applications, 7. Springer, Dordrecht, 2007.
[15] G. Karpilovsky, Group representations. Vol. 2., North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1993.
[16] J.H. Lu, M. Yan and Y.C. Zhu, On the set-theoretical Yang-Baxter equation, *Duke Math. J.* **104** (2000),153–170.
[17] W. Rump, A decomposition theorem for square-free unitary solutions of the quantum Yang- Baxter equation, *Adv. Math.* **193** (2005), 40-55.
[18] W. Rump, Braces, radical rings, and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, *J. Algebra* **307** (2007), 153-170.
[19] W. Rump, The braces of a classical group, Advances in Group Theory and Applications, Lecce 2013 (unpublished).
[20] J.P. Serre, Local fields., Translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 67. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979.
[21] D. R. Taunt, On $A$-groups, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **45**, (1949), 24-42.
[22] C. N. Yang, Some exact results for the many-body problem in one dimension with repulsive delta-function interaction. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19** (1967), 1312-1315.

Department of Mathematics, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel
*E-mail address*: benda@tx.technion.ac.il

Department of Mathematics, University of Haifa, Haifa 3498838, Israel
*E-mail address*: ginosar@math.haifa.ac.il